

BILL OF RIGHTS
OF THE
COSMIC COMMONS COOPERATIVE ALLIANCE

A Colorado Limited Cooperative Association

DECLARATION OF MUTUALLY CONSTITUTED PROTECTIONS

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the undersigned cooperating agents, acting in their individual and collective capacities, do hereby establish and ordain this Bill of Rights for the governance and protection of all Members of the Cosmic Commons Cooperative Alliance (hereinafter referred to as the "Alliance" or "C3 Alliance"); and

WHEREAS, it is recognized and affirmed that sovereignty constitutes a foundational commitment that Members agree to protect for one another, the mutual guarantee of which shall be the paramount objective of this Alliance; and

WHEREAS, the Members acknowledge their role as time-bounded stewards rather than permanent owners of resources, and commit to the principles of stewardship, contribution, and collective governance set forth herein through mutual covenant; and

WHEREAS, membership and identity within this Alliance shall derive solely from Patronage Performance, defined as verified contribution to the commons, and shall not be predicated upon blood, territory, arbitrary classification, or any other criterion inconsistent with the principles of earned stewardship; and

WHEREAS, stewardship within this Alliance constitutes responsibility earned through demonstrated contribution, and membership shall be defined by shared practice rather than exclusion or opposition; and

WHEREAS, multiple allegiances to local, regional, and global commons shall be recognized as the natural expression of nested stewardship; and

WHEREAS, the protections established herein exist because Members mutually constitute them, not because they are discovered in any transcendent order, and their authority flows from the collective commitment of those who make and maintain them;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing premises and the mutual covenants and agreements hereinafter set forth, the Members do hereby adopt and establish this Bill of Rights as the governing foundation of the Alliance, welcoming all who commit to Co-Create in accordance with the rights and responsibilities herein constituted.

ARTICLE I

SOVEREIGNTY AND PERSONHOOD

Section 1.01. Mutually Recognized Sovereignty.

Every Member possesses sovereignty that is mutually recognized and collectively guaranteed by participating Members. No authority, whether internal or external to this Alliance, shall have the power or right to claim ownership over, or exercise

dominion, control, or authority of any kind over, any Member's person, labor, consciousness, or legitimately earned stewardship rights. Such sovereignty is structurally protected through this mutual covenant and shall not be diminished, transferred, or waived except as expressly provided herein.

Section 1.02. Voluntary Association.

Membership in this Alliance constitutes voluntary association among equals. No Member shall be compelled, coerced, or otherwise required to participate in any activity, governance decision, economic arrangement, or other undertaking against such Member's will, save and except for consequences arising from voluntary contractual obligations freely and knowingly entered into by such Member.

Section 1.03. Right of Exit.

Every Member holds a structurally guaranteed right to exit this Alliance at any time and for any reason, without penalty or prejudice, retaining all legitimately earned stewardship rights, credentials, reputation, and proportional economic stake, subject only to the settlement of voluntary obligations previously and freely undertaken by such Member. Exit rights constitute the fundamental structural protection ensuring that cooperative arrangements serve genuine member interests.

(a) Exit Settlement. Upon notice of exit, a settlement period shall commence during which outstanding obligations are calculated, deficit allocations through date of exit are finalized, and net stake after obligations is determined. Member retains full exit right but receives net stake, not gross accumulation. Immediate physical exit is never restricted; economic settlement follows. Settlement procedures shall be established by each Commons.

(b) Earned Stake Vesting. Certain benefits may vest over time rather than immediately upon receipt. Unvested benefits are not "legitimately earned" for purposes of exit retention. Vesting schedules, if any, shall be established by each Commons and disclosed prior to commitment acceptance.

Section 1.04. Sovereign Entity Identifiers.

Sovereign Entity Identifiers ("SEID") constitute the technological expression and instantiation of Member sovereignty. No SEID shall be revoked, suspended, modified, or diminished in any manner except through due process following Covenant Violations as specified in Article IX of this Bill of Rights.

(a) SEID Recovery. Each Commons shall establish mechanisms for SEID recovery in cases of compromise, loss, or incapacity. Recovery mechanisms shall be designed to prevent both permanent loss of Member identity and fraudulent claims of compromise.

Section 1.05. Sovereignty and Voluntary Obligation.

Sovereignty protections under this Article shall not exempt any Member from consequences arising from voluntary commitments. By accepting Impact Offers, entering stewardship agreements, or receiving benefits from commons participation, Members voluntarily bind themselves to associated obligations. Stewardship responsibility includes understanding commitments undertaken; ignorance shall not constitute defense against obligation. Invocation of sovereignty to evade freely undertaken commitments shall itself constitute a Covenant Violation.

ARTICLE II

STEWARDSHIP FOUNDATION

Section 2.01. Basis of Stewardship Rights.

Stewardship rights within this Alliance are earned exclusively through contribution, whether in the form of performance (labor and services), capital (investment and resources), or care (maintenance and preservation). Stewardship rights shall never be granted by arbitrary authority, inherited status, or any criterion other than verified contribution to the commons.

(a) Contribution and Stake. Performance generating PPT shall require genuine stake at risk. Action taken with skin in the game constitutes contribution; the stake itself is the verification metric. Each Commons, through its Faction governance, may establish additional mechanisms for recognizing contribution forms appropriate to their context, provided such mechanisms do not create interpretive authority that could diminish Member rights or override verified stake-based performance.

Section 2.02. Proportionality and Active Participation.

All stewardship shall be proportional to contribution and bounded by active participation. Stewardship rights shall persist only so long as care and contribution continue. Stewardship terms, renewal conditions, and challenge procedures shall be established in the Articles of Organization, with protections against both abandonment and harassment of stewards.

(a) Challenge Abuse Prevention. Each Commons shall establish mechanisms to prevent harassment through repeated challenges, which may include escalating bond requirements for challengers with prior failed challenges, reputation penalties for failed challenges, and portable challenge history across Commons. The burden of challenge shall increase with pattern of unsuccessful attempts.

Section 2.03. Equal Opportunity.

No Member shall be denied the opportunity to earn stewardship rights through legitimate contribution, nor shall any Member's earned stewardship be diminished, modified, or extinguished without due process under this Mutual Covenant as set forth herein.

(a) Opportunity and Acceptance. The right to opportunity means access to commons systems, ability to submit Impact Offers, and fair evaluation of contributions. It does not compel any Member, faction, or sub-commons to accept specific offers or enter specific relationships. Freedom of association includes freedom to decline association, subject to non-discrimination provisions established by each Commons.

Section 2.04. Commons Co-Stewardship.

The commons is co-stewarded by all contributing Members. Each Member shall have equal right to participate in commons governance according to the mechanisms established in the Articles of Organization and consistent with the provisions of this Bill of Rights.

(a) Protection from Majority Capture. Exit rights under Section 1.03 constitute the primary structural protection against majority capture. Additionally: (i) Coordinated voting among Members shall be disclosed when such coordination affects outcomes. Undisclosed coordination to control governance outcomes constitutes a Covenant Violation. (ii) Each Commons may establish supermajority requirements for decisions affecting substantial resource allocation or structural changes to commons operations.

ARTICLE III

ECONOMIC SOVEREIGNTY

Section 3.01. Patronage as Source of Economic Stake.

Patronage, defined as verified contribution to the Alliance, constitutes the sole legitimate source of economic stake within this Alliance. Members shall earn Patronage Performance Tokens ("PPT") for verified contribution, which shall establish proportional claim on surplus and proportional responsibility for deficit, in accordance with the economic mechanisms set forth in the Articles of Organization.

Section 3.02. Ownership of Economic Surplus.

Economic surplus generated by the Alliance belongs to contributing Members in proportion to their Community Membership ("COMM") stake. No external authority, governmental body, or other entity shall have the power to expropriate, tax, assess, or otherwise diminish Member economic rights without the explicit voluntary consent of the affected Member or Members.

(a) Consent Through Membership. Membership in this Alliance constitutes consent to economic mechanisms established through legitimate governance processes. Protection from expropriation under this Section applies to external authorities and to internal actions that violate this Bill of Rights or the Articles of Organization. It does not grant individual veto over collective economic decisions made through established governance procedures. Members who cannot accept governance outcomes retain exit rights under Section 1.03.

(b) Vault Boundary. Economic protections under this Section apply to assets controlled by Member Vault and SEID within the commons architecture. Assets held outside Vault control are personal property subject to personal responsibility. The commons shall not adjudicate claims arising from obligations incurred outside commons participation.

Section 3.03. Allocation of Economic Deficits.

Economic deficits incurred by the Alliance shall be shared by stakeholders proportionally to their COMM holdings. All Members shall have the right to transparent, verifiable, and timely accounting of commons economic performance, including but not limited to revenues, expenditures, assets, liabilities, and distributions.

(a) Deficit Allocation Timing. Proportional responsibility for economic deficits shall be calculated based on COMM holdings at the time the underlying obligation was incurred. Transfer of COMM holdings subsequent to obligation incurrence shall not extinguish proportional responsibility for such obligations. Deficit

balances shall be assessed to Member Vault via PPT allocations, recorded transparently on-chain. Settlement mechanisms shall be established by each Commons.

Section 3.04. Capital Contribution as Patronage.

Capital provision constitutes performance of the Promoter role within the Alliance. Promoters contributing capital shall earn Patronage Performance Tokens ("PPT") equivalent to other contribution forms, subject to the same influence mechanisms and caps applicable to all Members. No form of contribution shall be privileged over another in governance weight or economic recognition.

(a) Freedom of Participation. Members are free to participate in governance across multiple proposals and domains according to their contribution and strategy. The ten percent (10%) maximum influence cap under Section 5.02 applies per proposal. Patterns of participation are transparent and visible to all Members; reputation consequences for perceived dominance-seeking behavior emerge through community response, not structural prohibition.

ARTICLE IV

PROPERTY AND POSSESSION

Section 4.01. Exclusive Stewardship Rights.

Members shall possess exclusive stewardship rights over the following: (a) personal property and tools of production acquired through legitimate exchange; (b) intellectual property and creative works produced through individual labor; (c) proportional stake in commons resources according to COMM holdings; and (d) credentials and reputation earned through verified performance.

(a) Collaborative Creation. Intellectual property created through collaborative Impact Offers shall have ownership, usage rights, and revenue allocation specified in the governing IO prior to work commencement. Absent explicit specification, collaborative works created under commons coordination shall be held by the sponsoring Commons with usage rights granted to all contributors. Disputes regarding collaborative IP shall be resolved per Article IX procedures based on IO terms, not post-hoc claims.

Section 4.02. Collective Ownership of Commons Property.

Commons property shall be held collectively by all Members according to their proportional stake. No individual Member, faction, sub-commons, or external authority shall have the right to claim exclusive ownership of commons resources, except as may be provided through governance mechanisms established in accordance with this Bill of Rights.

(a) Commons Resource Protection. Allocation of commons resources shall serve commons purposes, not faction enrichment. Resource allocation decisions that disproportionately benefit a coordinating faction shall require disclosure of coordination under Section 2.04(a) and may be challenged as Covenant Violations if they constitute self-dealing. Each Commons shall establish conflict-of-interest procedures for resource allocation decisions.

Section 4.03. Natural Resources as Temporary Stewardship.

Land and natural resources are recognized as temporary stewardship, never permanent ownership. Members holding stewardship over such resources bear responsibility for maintenance, improvement, and sustainable use, ensuring the resource remains viable for subsequent stewards. Stewardship of natural resources is earned through contribution, not inherited through lineage.

(a) Stewardship Accountability. Failure to maintain resource condition constitutes failure of care under this Covenant. Resource condition shall be documented at stewardship commencement and conclusion. Degradation beyond normal use at term conclusion shall be referred to Covenant Guardians for arbitration and restitution determination per Article IX procedures. (i) Restitution obligations shall be assessed as deficit against the retiring steward's Vault, reducing available VELOC equity for subsequent Impact Offer participation until settled. (ii) Restitution terms and consequences shall be specified in the governing Impact Offer, establishing expectations prior to stewardship commencement. (iii) Settlement mechanisms shall be established by each Commons appropriate to resource type.

Section 4.04. Protection from Seizure.

No Member's legitimately earned property or stewardship rights shall be seized, diminished, redistributed, or otherwise impaired without due process following proven Covenant Violations and the requirement of restitution to affected parties, as set forth in Article IX of this Bill of Rights.

(a) Timely Resolution. Due process protections under Article IX shall include reasonable time limits for each procedural stage. Initial procedural management, including timeline tracking, notification, and documentation, may be administered through algorithmic assistance such as cooperative AI agents. Each Commons shall establish escalation procedures, maximum durations for each stage, and consequences for non-participation appropriate to their context.

ARTICLE V

GOVERNANCE RIGHTS

Section 5.01. Democratic Baseline Protection.

One vote shall be allocated per Vault-controlled Sovereign Entity Identifier (SEID) verified through Soulbound Token Decentralized Identifier (SBT-DID) on all constitutional matters affecting the existence, dissolution, merger, or fundamental structure of this Alliance. Wealth, reputation, contribution level, or any other factor shall never override this baseline democratic protection for constitutional governance.

(a) Identity Integrity. Each Commons shall establish mechanisms to detect and respond to suspected identity compromise, including challenge procedures for suspected sybil accounts and recovery procedures per Section 1.04(a). Constitutional votes occurring during suspected compromise may be suspended pending investigation through Covenant Guardian procedures.

Section 5.02. Operational Governance.

Governance authority on operational matters shall be exercised through PPT burn-to-mint mechanisms as established in the Articles of Organization. Influence on operational proposals shall be proportional to burned Patronage Performance Tokens ("PPT") or other verified contribution metrics, subject to maximum caps preventing dominance. No Member shall exercise more than ten percent (10%) maximum influence on any single operational proposal, regardless of reputation score or contribution level.

(a) Dual Governance Participation. All Members retain guaranteed democratic participation through their SEID for Commons governance and FEID for Faction governance, independent of PPT holdings. PPT burn mechanisms provide additional proportional influence for operational matters, supplementing but never replacing baseline democratic participation.

Section 5.03. Governance Authority from Active Stewards.

Governance authority shall flow exclusively from active stewards. Only Members with demonstrated contribution and stake in outcomes shall vote on operational matters; provided, however, that all Members in good standing shall vote on constitutional questions regardless of contribution level.

(a) Active Status. A Member is considered active for governance purposes from membership commencement until exit under Section 1.03. Periods of reduced or no Impact Offer participation shall not affect governance eligibility. The sole criterion for active status is continuing membership in good standing.

Section 5.04. Supremacy of Mutual Covenant and Member Rights.

No governance decision, whether made by majority, supermajority, or unanimous consent, shall have the power to violate this Mutual Covenant, diminish Member sovereignty, or override individual rights guaranteed in this Bill of Rights. Members shall retain the right to challenge any governance action through the dispute resolution mechanisms established herein and in the Articles of Organization.

(a) Rights Challenge Procedure. Claims that governance action violates Member rights under this Bill of Rights shall be submitted as disputes under Article IX procedures. Initial triage, including specification review and preliminary assessment, may be administered through algorithmic assistance such as cooperative AI agents. Claims requiring substantive judgment shall escalate to Covenant Guardian procedures. (i) Claims shall specify which Section is allegedly violated and how. (ii) Claims shall not automatically suspend governance action unless escalated review determines immediate irreparable harm is likely. (iii) Governance action may proceed pending resolution. If action is later determined to have violated rights, remedies under Article IX shall apply.

ARTICLE VI

TRANSPARENCY AND INFORMATION

Section 6.01. Right to Information.

All Members shall have the unqualified right to access complete, accurate, and timely information regarding: (a) commons economic performance and resource allocation; (b) governance proposals, voting records, and decision rationale; (c) contractual

obligations and inter-commons agreements; and (d) individual Member reputation scores and credential verification processes.

(a) Information Accessibility. The right to information includes the right to accessible, organized presentation. Data shall be structured for practical use, not merely disclosed. Each Commons may establish reasonable procedures for information requests, including response timeframes and limits on duplicative or vexatious requests, consistent with the principle that information necessary for governance participation shall be readily available. Commons may implement public information oracles and algorithmic triage for request processing appropriate to their context.

Section 6.02. Transparent Recordkeeping.

All coordination activities, performance verification, and economic transactions shall be recorded on transparent, verifiable, and immutable ledgers accessible to all Members. The Alliance shall maintain such records in a manner that ensures integrity, accessibility, and auditability.

(a) Ledger Selection and Error Correction. The Alliance may utilize multiple ledger architectures, including public chains and shielded chains, appropriate to transaction privacy requirements. Errors in ledger selection, including accidental disclosure of private data on public chains or incorrect classification, shall be addressed through: (i) initial arbitration via algorithmic assistance such as cooperative AI agents to assess error type and appropriate remedy; (ii) escalation to Covenant Guardian procedures for substantive disputes or harm assessment; (iii) correction mechanisms including flagging erroneous entries, appending correction notices, and obscuring accidentally disclosed personal information from public-facing interfaces while preserving underlying record integrity where technically feasible. Each Commons shall establish ledger selection guidelines and error response procedures appropriate to their chain architecture.

Section 6.03. Information Necessary for Governance.

No Member shall be denied information necessary to exercise informed governance participation or to verify fair treatment under Alliance mechanisms. Requests for such information shall be honored promptly and completely, subject only to protections for individual Member privacy as set forth in Section 6.04.

(a) Information Classification and Access. The right to governance information applies to information necessary for informed governance participation. Each Commons may establish classification levels distinguishing: (i) public information (available to all); (ii) member information (available to Members in good standing); (iii) operational information (available to Members with stake in specific decisions); (iv) confidential information (available only for specific governance purposes under appropriate safeguards). Classification management and access determination may be administered through algorithmic assistance such as cooperative AI agents, with escalation to Covenant Guardian procedures for disputes regarding classification level or access denial.

Section 6.04. Privacy Rights.

Member privacy rights shall be protected as mutually constituted protections. Personal data, identity information, and private communications shall remain under individual Member control and shall not be disclosed without the voluntary consent of

the affected Member, except as may be required for Covenant Violation investigation conducted in accordance with Article IX of this Bill of Rights.

(a) Privacy Scope. Privacy protections under this Section apply to personal data, identity information, and private communications unrelated to commons governance. Coordination among Members affecting governance outcomes remains subject to disclosure requirements under Section 2.04(a). Privacy shall not be invoked to shield activity that would constitute Covenant Violation if disclosed.

ARTICLE VII

LABOR AND CONTRIBUTION

Section 7.01. Right to Offer Labor.

Every Member shall have the right to offer labor, skills, and knowledge to the commons through Impact Offers or such other mechanisms as may be established, and to have such contributions fairly evaluated according to transparent performance metrics and criteria.

(a) Impact Offer Submission. Each Commons may establish submission requirements for Impact Offers including: (i) submission stakes proportional to evaluation burden, returned upon good-faith completion or acceptance, forfeited for spam or abandoned offers; (ii) reputation-scaled submission capacity, where established contributors may submit more offers than new or low-reputation members; (iii) algorithmic triage for initial quality screening prior to human evaluation. Such mechanisms shall balance accessibility for new contributors against protection from evaluation system abuse.

Section 7.02. Freedom from Compelled Labor.

No Member shall be compelled, coerced, or otherwise required to labor against such Member's will. Labor contributions shall not be undervalued, exploited, or extracted by any authority, whether internal or external to this Alliance, and shall be compensated fairly in accordance with established mechanisms.

(a) Voluntary Commitment Continuity. Acceptance of an Impact Offer constitutes voluntary commitment to its terms. Freedom from compelled labor under this Section protects Members from obligations never undertaken, not from obligations voluntarily accepted. Withdrawal from accepted commitments shall follow IO termination procedures, which may include return of benefits received and reputation consequences, as established by each Commons.

Section 7.03. Portable Credentials.

Performance creates verifiable experience; experience creates portable credentials; credentials unlock expanded stewardship opportunities across the Nexus Node Network Commons ("N3 Commons") and beyond. No Member shall be denied recognition of legitimately earned credentials, and such credentials shall be fully portable and transferable across participating networks and cooperatives.

(a) Credential Portability and Assessment. Credentials earned through verified performance are portable across Commons and networks. Portability guarantees the credential travels with the Member; it does not mandate equivalency of

recognition. Receiving Commons may: (i) assess credential source and standards as context for recognition decisions; (ii) require supplemental verification for credentials from unfamiliar sources; (iii) establish reciprocity agreements with other Commons for mutual credential recognition. Credential provenance shall be transparent and verifiable.

Section 7.04. Ownership of Skills and Knowledge.

Members shall retain ownership of skills, knowledge, and capabilities developed through Alliance participation. Credentials and reputation are portable and shall remain Member property upon exit from the Alliance, subject to verification of their legitimate acquisition.

(a) Knowledge and Artifact Distinction. Skills, knowledge, and capabilities developed through Alliance participation remain Member property per this Section—such embodied knowledge cannot be reclaimed or restricted. Externalized artifacts (code, designs, documentation, processes) created under commons coordination follow ownership terms established in governing Impact Offers per Section 4.01(a). Each Commons may establish reasonable expectations regarding knowledge sharing and artifact ownership as conditions of training or resource access, disclosed prior to participation.

ARTICLE VIII

ASSOCIATION AND COORDINATION

Section 8.01. Freedom of Association.

Members shall possess the unrestricted right to form voluntary associations, factions, guilds, working groups, or sub-commons within the Alliance structure for mutual benefit and coordinated action, subject to compliance with this Bill of Rights and the Articles of Organization.

(a) Association and Coordination Effects. Freedom of association includes formation of factions for mutual benefit and coordinated action. Members should recognize that coordination patterns designed to extract from or exclude others generate natural resistance within the cooperative framework: (i) Excluded members may exit, reducing the commons resource base. (ii) Harmed members may counter-organize or seek remedies under Article IX. (iii) Transparency requirements under Section 2.04(a) make coordination visible, enabling response. (iv) Patterns producing systematic harm to commons function may be examined through Article IX procedures to assess whether restitution is warranted. The cooperative framework does not prohibit coordination by decree. It creates conditions where extractive coordination bears natural structural costs.

Section 8.02. Freedom from Compelled Association.

No Member shall be compelled, coerced, or otherwise required to join, remain in, or financially support any faction, association, guild, or sub-commons against such Member's will. Membership in any sub-organization within the Alliance shall be entirely voluntary.

(a) Non-Cooperation Scope. Freedom from compelled association protects individual Members from mandated relationships. This freedom: (i) permits

declining specific collaboration opportunities without justification; (ii) does not extend to coordinated exclusion campaigns targeting specific Members; (iii) does not permit refusal of commons-wide obligations applying to all Members equally. Patterns of coordinated exclusion may be investigated as potential Covenant Violations under Article IX procedures.

Section 8.03. Voluntary Coordination Mechanisms.

All coordination mechanisms, including but not limited to Quest designation, Mission formation, and Impact Offer acceptance, shall constitute voluntary arrangements between consenting Members or Member groups. No coordination mechanism shall impose obligations upon non-consenting Members.

(a) Coordination Commitment. Voluntary coordination mechanisms create voluntary obligations upon acceptance. Withdrawal from coordination commitments shall follow termination procedures established in the governing arrangement. Strategic withdrawal designed to harm collaborators, particularly at critical junctures, may constitute breach of voluntary agreement subject to restitution under Article IX procedures. Each coordination arrangement should specify: (i) withdrawal notice requirements; (ii) consequences of withdrawal at various stages; (iii) harm mitigation obligations upon withdrawal.

Section 8.04. Guest Sponsorship.

Members shall have the right to delegate limited access privileges to non-Members ("Guests") under Member sponsorship. Sponsoring Members shall bear full stewardship responsibility for Guest actions and the scope of Guest access, in accordance with policies established in the Articles of Organization.

(a) Sponsor Liability. Sponsoring Members bear absolute stewardship responsibility for Guest actions within the scope of delegated access. Sponsors: (i) are liable for harm caused by Guest actions, with restitution obligation assessed to Sponsor Vault if Guest is unavailable or lacks capacity; (ii) bear responsibility to verify Guest identity and establish recovery mechanisms before sponsorship; (iii) hold immediate termination authority and obligation upon discovery of Guest misconduct; (iv) incur reputation consequences for patterns of sponsoring harmful Guests. Sponsor liability is non-delegable. Sponsors have no authority to deny liability for Guest actions within delegated scope. Ignorance of Guest actions is not a defense; sponsorship itself constitutes acceptance of accountability for the access granted.

ARTICLE IX

JUSTICE AND DUE PROCESS

Section 9.01. Due Process Requirement.

No Member shall be subject to punishment, expulsion, seizure of property, suspension of rights, or any other adverse action without due process following alleged Covenant Violations. Covenant Violations are defined as breaches of mutual agreement causing harm to life, liberty, or legitimately earned property, including fraud, theft, assault, and breach of voluntary contract.

(a) Appeal Limits. The right to appeal under Section 9.03(e) shall be subject to: (i) maximum number of appeal levels established by each Commons; (ii) time limits for filing appeals at each level; (iii) final determination authority at highest established level, beyond which no further appeal lies within Alliance structures. Appeals shall address procedural error or new evidence, not mere disagreement with outcome. Frivolous appeals designed to delay consequences may result in denial and reputation consequences.

Section 9.02. Restorative Justice Framework.

Covenant Violations shall be treated as breaches of agreement requiring restitution to affected parties, not as opportunities for extraction by authority figures or punishment for its own sake. The primary objective of dispute resolution shall be restoration of the injured party to their prior position, to the extent practicable.

(a) Restitution as Liability Loan. Restitution obligations are not extinguished by claimed inability to pay. Where immediate payment is not possible: (i) Obligation shall be assessed as liability loan against Member Vault, reducing available VELOC equity for Impact Offer staking until resolved. (ii) Liability loans must be settled to restore full VELOC staking capacity. (iii) Payment plans may be established appropriate to Member capacity, with VELOC restoration proportional to repayment progress. (iv) Persistent failure to address liability loans may result in suspended participation privileges until resolution pathway is established. Exit rights under Section 1.03 remain available; liability loan obligations are settled against exiting stake per Section 1.03(a).

(b) Pattern Recognition. Restorative justice addresses individual incidents. Patterns of repeated violation, even when individual restitution is made, reveal incompatibility with cooperative participation. For repeat violations: (i) Restitution multipliers may apply, reflecting increased burden on commons enforcement resources. (ii) Each Commons may implement reputational costs including XPT diminishment appropriate to violation severity and pattern. (iii) Participation restrictions may be imposed proportional to pattern severity. (iv) Persistent patterns may result in exclusion from commons participation as the maximum remedy under Section 9.04. Each Commons shall establish thresholds for pattern recognition, reputational consequences, and escalating remedies.

Section 9.03. Rights of Accused Members.

Accused Members shall have the right to: (a) clear and specific written notice of alleged violations; (b) fair and impartial investigation by Covenant Guardians (PMT-CGP earners) or their designees; (c) arbitration by neutral parties with no conflict of interest; (d) presentation of evidence and testimony in their defense; and (e) appeal of adverse decisions to a higher tribunal as established in the Articles of Organization.

(a) Procedural Integrity. The rights of accused Members under this Section depend on procedural integrity. Fabrication of evidence, false testimony, or manipulation of proceedings constitutes Covenant Violation subject to: (i) dismissal of claims based on fabricated evidence; (ii) restitution to falsely accused Member for harm suffered; (iii) escalated consequences under Section 9.02(b) for repeat fabrication. Algorithmic assistance such as cooperative AI agents may be employed for evidence verification and consistency analysis, with escalation to Covenant Guardians for substantive determination.

Section 9.04. Covenant Guardian Authority.

Covenant Guardians shall have authority to enforce commons agreements through investigation, arbitration, and requirement of restitution. Physical force is authorized exclusively for immediate defense of life and bodily safety. Exclusion from commons participation shall constitute the maximum non-violent remedy for irreparable breach of this Bill of Rights or the Articles of Organization.

(a) Guardian Accountability. Covenant Guardian is a mission-critical role carrying both prestige and the highest accountability standard within the Alliance. Guardians who act as bad actors constitute the most serious threat to commons integrity. Each Commons shall establish: (i) conflict of interest disclosure requirements; (ii) recusal procedures for Guardians with faction ties to parties; (iii) random or rotating assignment mechanisms to prevent forum shopping; (iv) transparent records of Guardian decisions enabling pattern analysis; (v) XPT diminishments and other consequences appropriate to Guardian misconduct, calibrated to reflect the elevated trust of the role. Demonstrated selective enforcement, bias, or abuse of Guardian authority constitutes the highest offense to the commons, subject to immediate removal from Guardian role, substantial reputational consequences, and restitution for harm caused.

(b) Guardian Selection Integrity. PMT-CGP credentials qualifying Guardian service shall be earned through demonstrated performance, not purchased or transferred. Guardian selection shall be transparent and capture-resistant through mechanisms such as: (i) Vickrey Auction methods for Guardian opportunity allocation; (ii) staking-based bidding processes creating skin in game; (iii) randomization or rotation elements; (iv) ongoing performance verification for continued Guardian eligibility; (v) removal procedures for demonstrated bias or incompetence through Member petition. Selection mechanisms shall be publicly documented and subject to governance modification if capture patterns emerge.

(c) Guardian Liability. Covenant Guardians shall hold no immunity from liability for acts of violence performed in the course of their duties. Any Member, including Covenant Guardians, who engages in physical force shall bear the burden of proving such force was proportionate and necessary for immediate defense of life or bodily safety. Role or title shall provide no presumption of justified action.

ARTICLE X

PROTECTION FROM HARM

Section 10.01. Prohibition of Initiated Harm.

No Member shall initiate harm against another Member through any means, including but not limited to: (i) physical force; (ii) credible threats of physical force; (iii) coercion, intimidation, or blackmail; (iv) fraud or economic manipulation; (v) coordinated reputational attacks; (vi) digital infrastructure attacks or privacy violations. The distinction between "violence" and "threat" is less significant than the causal chain: action produces effect, effect constitutes harm, harm warrants proportional response. Credible threats that produce fear, constrain behavior, or diminish Member capacity to act constitute initiated harm, not mere speech.

Accountability flows from causation. Those who initiate harm bear responsibility for effects caused, regardless of medium employed.

(a) Proportional Response. Response to initiated harm shall be proportional to harm caused, not categorically determined by medium. A credible threat producing severe psychological harm may warrant greater response than minor physical contact causing no lasting effect. Each Commons shall establish guidelines for assessing harm magnitude across categories, recognizing that: (i) effects on the body-mind are unitary—psychological and physical harm are not categorically separate; (ii) harm that constrains Member capacity to act, participate, or flourish is real harm regardless of medium; (iii) restitution addresses actual harm caused, not abstract categorization of action type.

Section 10.02. State Entities as Service Providers.

State entities, including governmental bodies and their agents, are recognized as optional service providers, not sovereign authorities over Members. States may earn fees for services voluntarily rendered and accepted, but shall possess no authority to initiate violence, compel participation, or override commons governance without the explicit voluntary consent of affected Members.

(a) External Forum Coordination. Members who utilize external legal mechanisms to attack other Members in matters arising from Alliance participation, where internal dispute resolution was available and not exhausted, engage in forum shopping harmful to commons integrity. (i) Members facing external legal action arising from Alliance participation may request commons coordination for defense support. (ii) Members initiating external action without exhausting internal remedies may face reputational consequences and exclusion from commons protections for related matters. (iii) Each Commons may establish mutual defense funds or coordination mechanisms for Members targeted through external forums. This Section does not restrict Member access to external legal remedies for matters outside Alliance participation or where internal mechanisms have failed to provide adequate remedy.

Section 10.03. Limited Security Delegation.

The Alliance may delegate limited security authority to Covenant Guardians exclusively for Covenant Violation response. Such authority shall focus on restitution and restoration rather than punishment or control, and shall be exercised in accordance with the procedural safeguards established in Article IX of this Bill of Rights.

(a) Security Delegation Integrity. Limited security authority delegated to Covenant Guardians under this Section is subject to all accountability, selection integrity, and liability provisions of Sections 9.04(a), 9.04(b), and 9.04(c). Security functions shall not be delegated to separate bodies with lesser accountability standards.

Section 10.04. Right of Defense.

Members retain the right to defense against initiated harm from any source. This includes: (i) defense against physical attack in progress; (ii) defense against credible threat producing immediate harm (fear, coercion, constraint); (iii) proportional response to ongoing intimidation or harassment campaigns. Defense responds to harm occurring or credibly imminent. It does not authorize: (i) preemptive action based on

speculation about distant future threat; (ii) retaliation for past harm (addressed through Article IX); (iii) disproportionate escalation beyond what the threat warrants. Claims of defensive action shall be evaluated based on whether reasonable perception of harm (occurring or credibly imminent) existed at the moment response was employed.

ARTICLE XI

AMENDMENT AND PRESERVATION

Section 11.01. Amendment Procedure.

This Bill of Rights may be amended only through supermajority consent of seventy-five percent (75%) of all active Members in good standing, following a minimum deliberation period of sixty (60) days from the date of formal proposal. Proposed amendments shall be distributed to all Members in writing and shall be subject to open debate and comment prior to any vote.

(a) Supermajority Rationale. The seventy-five percent (75%) threshold reflects the principle that constitutional changes require broad consensus, not mere majority. Persistent inability to achieve supermajority indicates genuine disagreement within the membership. Members who cannot accept continuation of existing provisions retain exit rights under Section 1.03. The supermajority requirement shall not be reduced through procedural mechanisms designed to circumvent consensus requirements.

Section 11.02. Unamendable Provisions.

Notwithstanding Section 11.01, no amendment shall have the power to: (a) diminish the sovereignty guarantees set forth in Article I; (b) eliminate the one-SEID-one-vote constitutional protection established in Section 5.01; (c) eliminate or restrict Member exit rights as protected in Section 1.03; or (d) violate the mutual covenants upon which this Alliance is constituted. Any purported amendment in violation of this Section 11.02 shall be void ab initio.

(a) Unamendable Rationale. Unamendable provisions under this Section represent structural protections without which the cooperative framework becomes vulnerable to capture. These provisions protect: (i) individual sovereignty against institutional domination; (ii) democratic baseline against wealth capture; (iii) exit rights as the ultimate structural check on cooperative dysfunction. Claims that these protections are "harmful" should be examined for whose interests they harm. Protections that prevent domination will always appear harmful to would-be dominators. Should the Alliance structure become fundamentally incompatible with Member flourishing despite these protections, dissolution under Section 12.03 remains available.

Section 11.03. Conflict with External Law.

Should any provision of this Bill of Rights conflict with external legal requirements imposed by governmental authorities, Members shall prioritize these rights while pursuing legal remedies, structural modifications, or other measures to resolve such conflicts in a manner that preserves Member sovereignty to the maximum extent practicable.

(a) External Conflict Verification. Claims that Alliance provisions conflict with external legal requirements shall be: (i) supported by specific citation to applicable external law; (ii) reviewed through governance procedures established by each Commons; (iii) addressed through collective strategy rather than unilateral non-compliance. Members may not selectively invoke external conflict to avoid inconvenient provisions while benefiting from Alliance membership. Patterns of selective compliance claims may be examined through Article IX procedures.

Section 11.04. Perpetual Guarantee.

The rights set forth herein are guaranteed to all Members for so long as this Alliance endures. No circumstance, emergency, external pressure, or claimed necessity shall justify temporary suspension or permanent elimination of Member sovereignty protections. The Alliance shall maintain these protections as its paramount obligation to all Members.

(a) Emergency Response Within Framework. The prohibition on rights suspension does not prevent rapid operational response to genuine threats. The Alliance may: (i) mobilize defensive resources immediately; (ii) delegate temporary operational authority to respond to active threats; (iii) expedite governance procedures for urgent decisions. Such responses operate WITHIN the rights framework, not by suspending it. Members retain sovereignty, exit rights, and due process even during emergencies. History demonstrates that "temporary" rights suspensions become permanent capture mechanisms. The Alliance rejects the premise that rights must be sacrificed for security.

ARTICLE XII

SUPREMACY OF RIGHTS

Section 12.01. Supremacy Clause.

This Bill of Rights shall be the supreme governing document of the Cosmic Commons Cooperative Alliance and shall supersede all operational policies, bylaws, governance proposals, and contractual arrangements within the Alliance. No rule, agreement, policy, or decision shall have force or effect to the extent it violates these guaranteed rights.

(a) Operational vs. Constitutional Matters. The supremacy of this Bill of Rights applies to genuine rights violations, not ordinary operational disagreements. Disputes regarding operational decisions shall be resolved through governance procedures established in the Articles of Organization. Claims that operational decisions constitute rights violations shall follow procedures established in Section 5.04(a), including specificity requirements and consequences for frivolous claims.

Section 12.02. Resolution of External Conflicts.

Where conflict exists between these rights and external legal obligations imposed by governmental authorities, Members shall work collectively to resolve such conflicts through legal challenge, structural modification, strategic compliance minimizing

rights infringement, or other appropriate measures, while maintaining the primacy of Member sovereignty.

(a) Collective Resolution Requirement. Resolution of external conflicts under this Section shall follow verification procedures established in Section 11.03(a). Individual Members shall not unilaterally determine external conflict exists or selectively comply based on personal interpretation. External conflict resolution is a collective governance matter.

Section 12.03. Institutional Purpose.

The Alliance exists to serve Member sovereignty, not to subordinate Members to institutional authority. Should the Alliance structure ever serve to diminish rather than protect Member rights, dissolution of the Alliance shall be preferable to perpetuation of such subordination, and Members shall have the right to initiate dissolution proceedings in accordance with the Articles of Organization.

(a) Dissolution Conditions. Dissolution proceedings under this Section may be initiated only upon demonstration that the Alliance structure has fundamentally failed to protect Member rights despite good-faith efforts to remedy. Dissolution is not a negotiating tactic or threat mechanism. Initiation requires: (i) specific documentation of structural failure to protect rights; (ii) evidence that remedial efforts have been attempted and exhausted; (iii) support from threshold of Members established by each Commons. Frivolous dissolution threats designed to extract concessions may be examined through Article IX procedures as coercive behavior under Section 10.01.

Section 12.04. Implementation Through Mutual Commitment.

The rights set forth herein derive their force from the mutual commitment of Members to recognize and protect them for one another. Their authority flows from collective participation, not from transcendent discovery. Operational limitations arising from developmental requirements shall not diminish the validity or binding nature of these guarantees, and the Alliance shall work continuously toward their complete implementation through architectural systems that execute without interpretive intermediaries.

(a) Implementation Accountability. Acknowledgment of developmental requirements shall not become permanent excuse for non-implementation. Each Commons shall: (i) establish implementation roadmaps for rights protections requiring architectural development; (ii) report progress toward implementation at regular intervals; (iii) prioritize development of systems that enforce rights protections over systems that expand operational capacity. Members may challenge persistent non-implementation through governance procedures. Patterns of indefinite delay in rights implementation may be examined as potential governance failure.

ATTESTATION AND ADOPTION

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned Founding Members, being all of the initial Members of the Cosmic Commons Cooperative Alliance, do hereby adopt this Bill of Rights by their unanimous consent, intending to be bound thereby through mutual covenant, as of the date set forth below.

Adopted by unanimous consent of Founding Members this 22 day of December, 2025, in the State of Colorado.

COSMIC COMMONS COOPERATIVE ALLIANCE

A Colorado Limited Cooperative Association

Authorized Pioneer Organizer: Plausible Potentials Consulting DACO

Signed: Pioneer 1 -Sovereign Entity Identifier: DID:SEID:HASH:XXXXX

[END OF BILL OF RIGHTS]